

KINGS LAW REPORTS
(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2000) KLR VOL 5 PART 103 pp. 1441 - 1600
MAY 2000

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Adesanoye v. Adewole p. 1441
2. Ifabiyi v. Adeniyi p. 1505
3. Akindipe v. Commissioner of Police Lagos State p. 1523
4. Magaji v. Matari p. 1539
5. Dantata v. Mohammed p. 1559

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2000) 5 KLR**

ACTIONS - Declaration - Discretionary remedy - Time to exercise a discretion whether to grant a declaration - Is upon a trial of the suit. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

ACTIONS - Declarations - Reasonable cause of action - What the Court should consider in order to determine - Whether the statement of claim has disclosed a reasonable cause of action. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

ACTIONS - Juristic person - Representative action - It is enough if the group or class of persons sought to be represented - Are easily identifiable and have a common purpose. *Akindipe v. C.O.P* p. 1523

ACTIONS - Relief - Jurisdiction - Where an action is brought solely to obtain relief - Which the court has no power to grant - The Statement of claim will be struck out and the action dismissed. *Akindipe v. C.O.P* p. 1523

APPEALS - Issue - Incompetence - Issue argued without a supporting ground of appeal - The consequence is that both the issue and the argument founded on it - Ought to be discountenanced by the court. *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 1441

APPEALS - Issues - Formulation of issues - It is not permissible to formulate more than one issue from a ground of appeal - Even though several grounds of appeal can be covered by one issue for determination *Akindipe v. C.O.P* p. 1523

APPEALS - Opinion - Gratuitous advice given by a court - Should hardly engender arguments on an appeal - And neither of the parties nor the court is obliged to feel bound in any way by such advice. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

APPEALS - Supreme Court - Power - In an appropriate occasion as in the present case - The combined provisions of order 8 rules 2 & 12 of the

Supreme Court Rules - Will be invoked notwithstanding that there was no cross appeal - To ensure the determination on the merits of the real question in controversy between the parties. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

CHIEFTAINCY MATTERS - District head - Suo motu formulation of a case by the court - It is wrong for the learned trial judge to hold - That the Respondent in the instant case is the District head - When that was not the issue before him. *Ifabiyi v. Adeniyi* p. 1505

CONTRACTS - Breach - Failure of consideration - Restitution - Where there is a claim for total failure of consideration - The innocent party is entitled to restitution. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

CONTRACTS - Breach - Rescission - What must be determined - Where it is alleged that there is a right to rescind for breach. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

CONTRACTS - Consideration - Complete failure of - When a complete failure of consideration in a contract occurs. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

CONTRACTS - Rescission - Consequence - Where there is a serious breach of contract - The innocent party who has elected to rescind de futuro the contract - Is released from further obligations under the contract. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

CONTRACTS - Rescission - Right to - Where one party has committed a serious breach of contract - The innocent party has a right to rescind the contract. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

CONTRACTS - Rescission - Specific performance - An election that the contract is rescinded - Is inconsistent with a claim for specific performance of the contract. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

CUSTOMARY LAW - Evidence - Proof - Customary law is a question of fact which must be proved by evidence - If judicial notice is not available through decided cases of the superior courts. *Ifabiye v. Adeniyi* p. 1505

INTERPRETATION OF STATUTES - Retrospective statutes - Construction - The general principles in regard to retrospective operation of statutes. *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 1441

INTERPRETATION OF STATUTES - Retrospective Statutes - Interpretation giving a retrospective effect to a statute - Should not be readily accepted - Where it would affect vested rights - Or impose liability or disqualification for past events. *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 1441

JUDGMENTS - Declaratory judgments - Cause of action - Claim for a declaration is itself a cause of action - And what would entitle a plaintiff to a declaration is a claim which the court is prepared to recognize. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

JUDGMENTS - Relief - Which was not claimed - A court has no jurisdiction to make an Order - Which was not pleaded or prayed for - Such order will be annulled on appeal. *Ifabiye v. Adeniyi* p. 1505

JURISDICTION - Sharia Court of Appeal - Form CA 9 - The fact that the parties signed Form CA 9 - Would not confer jurisdiction on the Sharia Court of Appeal - Since the matter did not involve Islamic Personal law. *Magaji v. Matari* p. 1539

JURISDICTION - Sharia Court of Appeal - S. 242 (2) of the 1979 Constitution - That provision confined Sharia Court of Appeal to Islamic Personal Law. *Magaji v. Matari* p. 1539

LEGAL PERSONALITY - Juristic person - Representative action - It is enough if the group or class of persons sought to be represented - Are

easily identifiable and have a common purpose. *Akindipe v. C.O.P* p. 1523

LOCUS STANDI - Trespass - Action for - Where from the statement of claim - The plaintiffs have prima facie shown that they are in exclusive possession - They have legal capacity to invoke the jurisdiction of court under section 6 (6) (b) of the 1999 Constitution. *Akindipe v. C.O.P* p. 1523

PLEADINGS - Contract - Breach - Reasonable cause of action - A pleading which contains averments that there has been a breach of contract - Sufficiently serious to justify a claim that the plaintiff is no more bound by the contract - Cannot be said not to disclose a reasonable cause of action. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

PLEADINGS - Statement of claim - Reasonable cause of action - In considering whether a pleading discloses a reasonable cause of action - Only the allegations in the Writ of Summons and the statement of claim are examined. *Akindipe v. C.O.P* p. 1523

PLEADINGS - Striking out - Application for pleading to be struck out - Under Order 22 Rule 4 of the High Court of Lagos Civil Procedure Rules 1972 - The rule will not apply where one has to go to extrinsic evidence to show that the pleading is bad. *Akindipe v. C.O.P* p. 1523

PRACTICE & PROCEDURE - Declaratory judgments - Cause of action - Claim for a declaration is itself a cause of action - And what would entitle a plaintiff to a declaration is a claim which the court is prepared to recognize. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

STATUTES - Interpretation - Retrospective Statute - Vested rights - Act that might annul rights already acquired - There is always a presumption against such an intention. *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 1441

STATUTES - Retrospective Edict - Procedural requirements - Where the provisions are fundamental - And would affect substantive rights in regard

to a suit which has already commenced - They are not to be taken as mere procedural requirements. *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 1441

STATUTES - Retrospective legislation - Where the Edict created a right of action - And the condition for its exercise - It was not the intention of the legislature to give it retrospective operation - As it is not possible to exercise that right before it came into being. *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 1441

STATUTES - Retrospective statute - Definition - When a statute is deemed to be retrospective. *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 1441

STATUTES - Retrospective Statute - Edict No. 2 of 1992 - SS. 1 and 2 thereof - Could not properly be given a retrospective effect - So as to defeat the vested or substantive right of action in the respondent - Prevailing at the time he filed the suit. *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 1441

STATUTES - Retrospective statutes - Categorization - The three kinds of statutes that can be said to be retrospective. *Adesanoye v. Adewole* p. 1441

TRESPASS - Possession - Trespass to land - Is actionable at the suit of the person in possession of the land - But once a defendant claims to be the owner of the land in dispute - Title is put in issue. *Akindipe v. C.O.P* p. 1523

WORDS & PHRASES - Cause of action - What it means. *Dantata v. Mohammed* p. 1559

WORDS & PHRASES - Reasonable cause of action - What it means. *Akindipe v. C.O.P* p. 1523

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Approval of Appointment of an Oba and Presentation of Instrument of Appointment and staff of Office Edict No. 2 of Ondo State, 1992; ss. 1 and 2 Adesanoye v. Adewole p. 1441

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979. s. 242 (2) Magaji v. Matari p. 1539

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999, S. 6 (6) (b) Akindipe v. C.O.P p. 1523

High Court of Lagos Civil Procedure Rules, 1972, Order. 22 rr. 2, 3, and 4 Akindipe v. C.O.P p. 1523

High Court of Lagos State (Civil Procedure) Rules; O.15 r. 1, and O. 22 r. 5 Dantata v. Mohammed p. 1559

Supreme Court Rules, O. 8 rr 2 & 12 Supreme Court Act, S. 22 Dantata v. Mohammed p. 1559